PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

10 MARCH 2005

PLANNING APPEALS – SUMMARY OF DECISIONS

REPORT OF THE ACTING DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

Contact Officer: Sian Proudlock Tel No: 01962 848271

RECENT REFERENCES:

Report PS 56 to Principal Scrutiny Committee - Performance Report Concerning Planning Appeals (9.12.02)

Report EN 8 to Environment Performance Improvement Committee- planning appeals analysis of decisions. (12.03.03)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This report provides a summary of appeal decisions received during January 2005, as requested by members at the EPIC meeting in March 2003. Copies of each appeal decision are available in the Members Room if required.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1 That the report be noted.

PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

10 MARCH 2005

PLANNING APPEALS – SUMMARY OF DECISIONS

REPORT OF THE ACTING DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

DETAIL:

A summary of appeal decisions received during January 2005 for sites within Development Control Area West is set out below:

1.1 January 2005 Appeal Decisions for Development Control Area West

Date	Site	Decision	Proposal	Issues
25/01/05	W01029/02: Coillteach Park Lane Otterbourne	Allowed	Two storey front extension removing bay window and providing canopy porch, two storey rear extension and loft conversion	The characteristics of the existing bungalow are not part of the essential character of this semi-rural area and the proposed changes would still respect the pattern of development in the Lane. The
25/01/05	W01029/03: Coillteach Park Lane Otterbourne	Allowed	Raising of roof with side and rear extensions to provide first floor accommodation	scheme submitted to overcome the objection to the

DEL Delegated decision CTTE Committee decision

WR Written representations

IH Informal hearing Pl Public inquiry

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:

- 2 <u>CORPORATE STRATEGY (RELEVANCE TO)</u>:
- 2.2 Success on appeal is a measure of quality. It demonstrates that the policies of the development plan and the decisions reached by officers and Members can be successfully defended.
- 3 <u>RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS</u>:
- 3.1 The number of appeals received and the success of appeals has an impact on staff time and legal costs.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS:

None

APPENDICES:

None